



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/542,640	04/03/2000	Frank J. Koch	007325-077	4650

7590 04/25/2006
Ronald L Grudziecki
Burns Doane Swecker & Mathis LLP
PO Box 1404
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404

EXAMINER

VO, HIEN XUAN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2863

DATE MAILED: 04/25/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 09/542,640	Applicant(s) KOCH ET AL.	
	Examiner Hien X. Vo	Art Unit 2863	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 March 2005.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-30, 32-38 and 46-50 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 10-20 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9, 21-30, 32-38 and 46-50 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 03 April 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

2. Claims 1-9, 21-30, 32-38 and 46-50 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baldwin et al. (U.S. patent No. 6,243,661) further in view of Coyle et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,789,030).

With respect to claims 1 and 21, Baldwin et al. disclose the coating thickness gauge that includes obtaining a plurality of coating thickness values with a probe electrically connected to an electronic memory (see e.g. col. 1, lines 6-19), recording in the electronic memory the plurality of coating thickness values (see e.g. col. 2, lines 19-25), except for recording in the electronic memory a plurality of descriptive data, each descriptive data is associated with a respective one of the coating thickness values and provides information concerning the respective one coating thickness value. However, Coyle et al. disclose a portable data collector and analyzer apparatus and method that includes recording in the electronic memory a plurality of descriptive data (see e.g. abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baldwin to have a handheld multi-purpose

portable data collector and analyzer apparatus as taught by Coyle in order to record a coating thickness values and observations relating to the condition of a variety of assets values and signal processing options.

With respect to claims 2-9, and 22-30, 32-38 and 46-50, Baldwin et al. disclose the invention as claimed including the step of recording the coating thickness values and of recording the descriptive data are performed alternately (see e.g. col. 3), except for teaching the coating thickness values are transmitted to the electronic memory via a PCMCIA card, the descriptive data comprise text, transforming text handwriting on a computer screen with a writing instrument into digital data, a graph on a video display screen, touchsensitive screen and the data is entered by teaching the screen.

Coyle et al. disclose a portable data collector and analyzer apparatus and method that includes the data values are transmitted to the electronic memory via a PCMCIA card, the descriptive data comprise text, transforming text handwriting on a computer screen with a writing instrument into digital data, touch screen, a graph on a video display screen (see e.g. abstract, col. 2, lines 47-60, col. 7, lines 22-29, and cols. 7-9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Baldwin to have a handheld multi-purpose portable data collector and analyzer apparatus as taught by Coyle in order to add new functionality for collecting and analyzing data a variety of different asset types and run on different types of applications, different types of measurements, different types of transducers or any combination thereof for accommodating different types of sensed physical data for the large variety of different types of assets found in industrial plants.

Art Unit: 2863

3. Claims 10-20 allowed.


The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach singularly or in combination a PCMCIA card connected to the probe and which receives the first signal from the probe, the PCMCIA card including means for converting the first signal into a second signal which is compatible with a standard PCMCIA output format.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hien X. Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-2282. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30) First Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Barlow can be reached on (571) 272-2269. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hien Vo
04/17/06


John Barlow
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 2800